

SN1987A REVISITED AFTER 20 YEARS: MAY THE SUPERNOVA BANG MORE THAN ONCE?

P.GALEOTTI

Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Torino e INFN Sez. di Torino

e-mail: piero.galeotti@to.infn.it

G.PIZZELLA

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata"

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

e-mail: guido.pizzella@lnf.infn.it

ABSTRACT

The observations of supernova 1987A in underground detectors are revisited. It is shown that, while the LSD detector in the Mont Blanc Laboratory observed only one burst at $2^h52^{min}36.8^{sec}$ U.T., the Kamiokande data show a possible second burst, in addition to the well known one at $7^h35^{min}33.7^{sec}$ U.T. This second burst consists of a cluster of seven pulses, well above the energy threshold of the detector, observed during 6.2 seconds starting at $7^h54^{min}22.2^{sec}$ U.T. Do these observations imply a long duration of the collapse?

1. Introduction

Supernova 1987A was a unique event during our time and a neutrino burst was detected at 7:35 hour U.T. of 23 February 1987 in underground detectors: Kamiokande in Japan, IMB in United States and Baksan in Russia. This burst occurred a few hours before the optical detection of Supernova 1987A by naked eye in the southern hemisphere, which triggered the search of pulses in these underground detectors. In addition, another neutrino burst was observed on real time at 2:52 hours U.T. by the neutrino detector (LSD) located inside the Mont Blanc laboratory. This event was communicated immediately (IAU Circular n. 4323 of 28 February 1987) after the visual supernova information was made available, discussed soon after on March 2 during the "Rencontres de Physique de la Vall d'Aoste" and sent to a journal ¹⁾, about one month later. The Mont Blanc event, which occurred about four and half hours before the Kamiokande one, appeared surprising because it did not fit most *a la page* theories, according to which a gravitational stellar collapse must occur in a very short time, i.e. of the order of a few seconds or even less.

Soon after the Supernova occurrence, new theories were proposed suggesting that, because of fragmentation of a fast rotating core, the collapse could have lasted for a few hours^{2,3)}, thus allowing both the Kamiokande and the Mont Blanc neutrino events. More recently the *collapsar* model has been proposed by Imshennik⁴⁾ and a paper has been published by Imshennik and Ryazhskaya⁵⁾, where a detailed mechanism is developed, based on the idea that the collapsing star breaks under rotation

in various pieces. In this way emission of gravitational waves could occur for several hours, precisely about five hours according to³⁾, while the light fragments spiral around the collapsed massive, central body.

In a simple rough description, the *collapsar* model begins with the star spinning very rapidly, taking the form of a thin disk (pancake type). The neutronization process takes place: ν_e are emitted in the energy range 20-55 MeV, and possibly gravitational waves are also emitted (because of the non-spherical collapse). Then the star breaks and the lightest fragments orbit around the neutron star transferring matter to it. This process may have a duration of a few hours. The transfer continues until the neutron star, with spherical shape, having reached a sufficient mass undergoes a final collapse, probably into a black hole. Neutrinos ν_e are produced and, after interacting within the star, all the six neutrino species, are emitted with energy 10-20 MeV.

Since the first neutrino ν_e emission is detected with high efficiency in Fe, and, among the active detectors at that time, only LSD contained 200 tons of iron, this can explain the first burst. The other detectors may detect neutrinos ν_e with lower efficiency. At this stage gravitational waves could be emitted. The second neutrino emission (all six species) is observed by the various detectors with efficiency proportional to their mass. No gravitational waves are expected, because of the spherical symmetry of the final collapse.

In view of this new approach to the supernova phenomena, twenty years later, we believe we must examine again the data recorded in underground detectors, in particular the LSD and the Kamiokande ones. We have found that, at the time of SN1987A, in addition to the burst detected by LSD, more than one burst could have been detected by Kamiokande.

2. Neutrino burst in the Mont Blanc detector

The data we consider for the LSD detector consist in a list of triggers, in time and energy (MeV), mostly due to background. We use the data recorded on 23 February (a total of 1027 triggers) to compute the average trigger-rate of $0.0119 \frac{\text{pulse}}{\text{second}}$.

For the search of possible trigger clusterings we have applied the following algorithm:

- Read the data and save them in a counter until more than 10 seconds separate 2 successive pulses.
- Eliminate the content of the counter if the number of accumulated triggers is less than 4.
- Given the time covered by the cluster (the last trigger time minus the first one) and the previously measured background trigger rate, we calculated the Poisson probability to have such a cluster (*a posteriori*).
- We eliminate the cluster if the calculated Poisson probability is larger than 0.01.

With this procedure we obtained just one cluster: five pulses with energy in the range 5.2 to 7.9 MeV detected within 7.0 seconds beginning at $2^h52^{min}36.8^{sec}$ U.T., as reported in several papers of the LSD collaboration in 1987¹⁾. The imitation rate from the background is 1 event every 6.2 years.

3. Neutrino bursts observed in Kamiokande

The Kamiokande data consist in a similar list of times and Nhits, being Nhit the number of photo-multipliers hit in the trigger. The calibration gives⁷⁾ an energy of 10 MeV for Nhit=26 and the energy of 30 MeV for Nhit=73. The Kamiokande collaboration has put a threshold at Nhit=20, corresponding roughly to an energy of 7.5 MeV. In total the list we received from the Kamiokande collaboration contains 1937 triggers detected during 23 February above Nhit=20 for a rate of $0.024 \frac{pulse}{second}$.

The search of possible trigger clusterings, made by using the same procedure adopted for the LSD data, shows two clusters, the first one is the well known burst described by the Kamiokande collaboration^{6,7)} made by 11 pulses during 12.4 s, with a very low imitation rate from the background. The second one, observed about 20 minutes later starting at $7^h54^{min}22.6^{sec}$, is made by 7 pulses in a time window of 6.2 s with energy ranging from 22 to 33 Nhits and an imitation rate from the background of 1 event every 669 years.

In the Table 1 we give the list of the pulses constituting the second burst.

Since muons have been removed by the list of data we received from the Kamiokande collaboration, and since the possible effects of muons on the pulses of the first cluster has been studied very carefully by the Kamiokande group, see ref.⁷⁾, we believe improbable that the second cluster of triggers be due to muons. Indeed, the Kamiokande group find this possibility extremely small and concluded that the first cluster of pulses is due to neutrinos. It is our opinion that if the second cluster, discussed in this paper, had not escaped to the Kamiokande team, they would have discussed it in the same

Table 1: List of the pulses for the Kamiokande second burst. In the last column we give the number of years necessary for obtaining the cluster by chance.

hour	min	sec	nhit	number	duration [s]	prob [years]
7	54	22.26	33	7	6.2	669
7	54	24.11	29			
7	54	25.33	28			
7	54	25.34	27			
7	54	27.13	22			
7	54	28.37	22			
7	54	28.46	22			

fashion as they did for the first cluster, perhaps proving the muons effect. One can find an indication of this second cluster in the fig.4 of ref.⁷⁾, from which, however, one does not realize that the cluster consisted in seven pulses in just six seconds and well above background.

We must also comment about the coincidence with the IMB detector. As well known, IMB has energy threshold above 20 Mev. Thus, while IMB did observe signals in coincidence with the first Kamiokande cluster made by several high energy pulses, it could not have observed clustered signals in coincidence with the second Kamiokande cluster, where the higher energy was of the order of less than 15 MeV.

4. Correlation with the gravitational wave detectors

The gravitational wave group in Rome was immediately informed by the Mont Blanc collaboration (Carlo Castagnoli, private communication) about the burst detected by the LSD experiment. In spite of the low sensitivity of a room-temperature antenna in operation at that time (GEOGRAV), we decided to study carefully the data. We found a weak correlation with that burst, with the signal from the GEOGRAV detector anticipating the LSD signal by 1.4 seconds. This result was presented at the La Thuile meeting⁸⁾ on 3 March 1987 and also published⁹⁾.

On 7 March we learned about the Kamiokande observation of a large neutrino cluster occurring about four and half hours after the Mont Blanc neutrino burst. In spite of the difficulty due to the Kamiokande observation at a later time^{6,7)}, coincident with observation made with the IMB experiment, we thought important to continue the study of the GEOGRAV data, since there was a great chance that no other galactic, visible Supernova would have occurred for many years (or even centuries). In addition, also Joe Weber in Maryland made observations with his room temperature detectors at the time of supernova 1987A, and these appeared to have some degree of correlation with GEOGRAV. Then, our key idea was to consider **all** the LSD pulses and to try to correlate **all the available data**, and not just those occurring at $2^h52^m36^s$.

We found a very strong correlation^{10,11)} of both the Rome and the Maryland detectors with the LSD neutrino detector^a, with a time delay of 1.1 seconds in LSD and lasting for a period of one or two hours centered at the LSD time. The time shift was obtained with 101 LSD triggers, during a period of two hours centered at the Mont Blanc time, only 0.3 seconds off from the result presented⁹⁾ several months before, when we used only the burst of five neutrinos.

Later on we obtained the Kamiokande data for the day 23 February, including

^aThese experimental results, presented by an American, Italian and Russian collaboration, were not believed by a large part of the scientific community, who did their best to ban the publication. The question, whether the strong correlation found by the above collaboration was true, would have been easily solved if another group had asked to analyze the real experimental data.

both the time of the Kamiokande observed neutrino burst and that of the Mont Blanc burst. The Kamiokande data, recorded in an experiment aimed to measure the proton lifetime, had a time uncertainty of ± 1 *minute*, but the time could be adjusted by imposing a coincidence with the IMB event at 7:35 hours. The correction was 7.8 seconds. With this time correction, applying the same procedure used for the correlation with LSD, we found^{12,13)} a very similar correlation with the Kamiokande data during a period of one or two hours near $2^h52^m36^s$, just as found independently for the LSD detector, provided we adjusted the Kamiokande time by 7.8 seconds.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the LSD data show a single cluster of pulses, probably due to ν_e interactions in Fe while, in addition to the well known cluster of pulses, the Kamiokande data show a possible second cluster, about 20 minutes later, with very small probability to be accidental and well above background. Forgetting theoretical prejudices and on the basis of all these observations without neglecting part of the data, we suggest a scenario with a long duration of the collapse. The LSD, Kamiokande, IMB and Baksan data may just be the *top of an iceberg*, an activity that lasted for a few hours.

We recall that the signals recorded by the gravitational wave detectors occur only during the first phase of the collapse, in a period that includes the $2^h52^m36^s$ LSD burst. These signals, observed by the Rome and by the Maryland detectors, show a strong correlations not only with the LSD data, but also and independently with the Kamiokande data, provided the time of all triggers is shifted in order to have a correlation with IMB.

We are aware that a problem arises if the signals of the gravitational wave detectors are interpreted as due to gravitational waves, because their amplitude is very large.

6. Acknowledgment

We thank the Kamiokande group for supplying their data and Oscar Saavedra and Olga Ryashskaya for useful discussions.

7. References

- 1) M.Aglietta et al., *Europhys. Letters* **3** (1987) 1315
- 2) A.DeRujula, *Phys. Lett.* **B193** (1987) 514
- 3) V.S. Berezinsky, C. Castagnoli, V. I. Dokuchaev, P.Galeotti, *Nuovo Cimento* **C11**(1988) 287
- 4) V.S.Imshennik, *Space Science Rev.* **74** (1995) 325
- 5) V.S.Imshennik and O.G.Ryashskaya, *Astronomy Letters* **30**(2004) 14

- 6) K. S. Hirata et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 1490
- 7) K. S. Hirata et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 448
- 8) E. Amaldi et al., in *Results and Perspectives in Particle Physics*,
ed. M. Greco (Editions Frontieres, France, 1987), p.59.
- 9) E. Amaldi et al., *Europhys. Lett.* **3** (1987) 1325
- 10) E. Amaldi et al., in *Results and Perspectives in Particle Physics. Supernova
1987A, one year later*, ed. M. Greco (Editions Frontieres, France, 1988), p.107.
- 11) M. Aglietta et al., *Nuovo Cimento* **C12** (1989) 75
- 12) M. Aglietta et al., *Nuovo Cimento* **C14** (1991) 171
- 13) M. Aglietta et al., *Nuovo Cimento* **B106** (1991) 1257